arXiv:math/0505661v1 [math.CV] 30 May 2005

Pseudoholomorphic discs near an elliptic point

Alexandre Sukhov* and Alexander Tumanov**

*Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Laboratoire Paul Painlévé, U.F.R. de Mathématique, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, Cedex, France , e-mail: sukhov@math.univ-lille1.fr

** Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA, e-mail: tumanov@math.uiuc.edu

Abstract. We prove the existence and study the geometry of Bishop discs near an elliptic point of a real n-dimensional submanifold of an almost complex n-dimensional manifold.

MSC: 32H02, 53C15.

Key words: almost complex structure, generic manifold, elliptic point, Bishop disc.

1 Introduction

E.Bishop [2] proved that holomorphic discs with boundaries attached near an elliptic point p of a real n-dimensional submanifold E of $\mathbb{C}^n$ form a family smoothly depending on $(n-1)$ real parameters and that the boundaries of these discs fill a neighborhood of p in E. A substantial progress in the study of the geometry of these discs (called Bishop discs) has been done by E.Bedford - B.Gaveau [1], C.Kenig - S.Webster [6, 7] and other authors. On the other hand, M.Gromov in [4] pointed out that Bishop discs still exist when E is a submanifold of an almost complex manifold; he used this result in his approach to the symplectic and contact geometry. In the case of almost complex dimension 2, a precise version of this result was established and substantially used by H.Hofer [5], Y.Eliashberg [3], R.Ye [12] in various forms. The goal of the present paper is to give a complete proof of the existence of Bishop discs with boundaries attached near an elliptic point p of a real n-dimensional submanifold of an almost complex manifold of complex dimension n. We prove that their boundaries fill a neighborhood of p on E similarly to the case of the standard complex structure. Our approach arises from the standard complex analysis techniques, in particular, we use the methods of the works [8] and [10].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Almost complex manifolds.

Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold with operator of complex structure J. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and J_{st} the standard (operator of) complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ for arbitrary n. Let f be a smooth map from $\mathbb{D}$ into M. We say that f is *J-holomorphic* if $df \circ J_{st} = J \circ df$. We call such a map f a J-holomorphic disc and denote by $\mathcal{O}_J(\mathbb{D}, M)$ the set of *J-holomorphic discs* in M. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of usual holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$.

The following lemma shows that an almost complex manifold (M, J) can be locally viewed as the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with a small almost complex deformation of J_{st}. We shall repeatedly use this observation in what follows.

Lemma 2.1 *Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Then for each $p \in M$, each $\delta_0 > 0$, and each $k \geq 0$ there exist a neighborhood U of p and a smooth coordinate chart $z : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that $z(p) = 0$, $dz(p) \circ J(p) \circ dz^{-1}(0) = J_{st}$, and the direct image $z_*(J) := dz \circ J \circ dz^{-1}$ satisfies the inequality $||z_*(J) - J_{st}||_{\mathcal{C}^k(\bar{\mathbb{B}})} \leq \delta_0$.*

Proof : There exists a diffeomorphism z from a neighborhood U' of $p \in M$ onto $\mathbb{B}$ such that $z(p) = 0$ and $dz(p) \circ J(p) \circ dz^{-1}(0) = J_{st}$. For $\delta > 0$ consider the isotropic dilation $d_\delta : t \mapsto \delta^{-1}t$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the composite $z_\delta = d_\delta \circ z$. Then $\lim_{\delta \to 0} ||(z_\delta)_*(J) - J_{st}||_{\mathcal{C}^k(\bar{\mathbb{B}})} = 0$. Setting $U = z_\delta^{-1}(\mathbb{B})$ for sufficiently small positive δ we obtain the required result.

The operators ∂_J and $\bar{\partial}_J$.

Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. We denote by TM the real tangent bundle of M and by $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ its complexification. Recall that $T_{\mathbb{C}}M = T^{(1,0)}M \oplus T^{(0,1)}M$ where $T^{(1,0)}M := \{X \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M : JX = iX\} = \{\zeta - iJ\zeta, \zeta \in TM\}$, and $T^{(0,1)}M := \{X \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M : JX = -iX\} = \{\zeta + iJ\zeta, \zeta \in TM\}$. Let T^*M be the cotangent bundle of M. Identifying $\mathbb{C} \otimes T^*M$ with $T^*_{\mathbb{C}}M := Hom(T_{\mathbb{C}}M, \mathbb{C})$ we define the set of complex forms of type $(1, 0)$ on M as $T_{(1,0)}M = \{w \in T^*_{\mathbb{C}}M : w(X) = 0, \forall X \in T^{(0,1)}M\}$ and we denote the set of complex forms of type $(0, 1)$ on M by $T_{(0,1)}M = \{w \in T^*_{\mathbb{C}}M : w(X) = 0, \forall X \in T^{(1,0)}M\}$. Then $T^*_{\mathbb{C}}M = T_{(1,0)}M \oplus T_{(0,1)}M$. This allows us to define the operators ∂_J and $\bar{\partial}_J$ on the space of smooth functions on M : for a smooth complex function u on M we set $\partial_J u = du_{(1,0)} \in T_{(1,0)}M$ and $\bar{\partial}_J u = du_{(0,1)} \in T_{(0,1)}M$. As usual, differential forms of any bidegree (p, q) on (M, J) are defined by exterior multiplication.

2.2 Real submanifolds of an almost complex manifold

Let E be a real submanifold of codimension k in an almost complex manifold (M, J) of complex dimension n. Locally E is defined as the zero-set of a smooth $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued function $r = (r_1, ..., r_k)$ with $dr_1 \wedge ... \wedge dr_k \neq 0$. As usual, we denote by H_pE the maximal complex subspace of the tangent space T_pE that is $H_pE = T_pE \cap J(p)T_pE$ and call it the holomorphic or the complex tangent space of E at p. Recall that E is called generic at p if the complex dimension of H_pE is equal to $n - k$. A real submanifold E is called totally real at p if $H_pE = \{0\}$. In particular, any generic submanifold of real codimension n is totally real.

Let E be a real n-dimensional submanifold in an almost complex manifold (M, J). Our considerations are local so we simply indentify M with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^n$) and view J as a smooth matrix-valued function. In this paper we study the geometry of E near a point $p \in E$ admitting a tangent vector $X \in T_pE$ sucht that $J(p)X \in T_pE$, that is a complex tangent direction that is p is a singular point for the Cauchy-Riemann structure of E. We consider generic singularities only that is the case where there exists precisely one tangent complex line in T_pE. Our approach is based on a suitable choice of coordinates near p. First we can assume that $p = 0$ and $J(0) = J_{st}$. Let vector $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ be complex tangent to E at the origin. It follows by the classical Nijenhuis-Woolf theorem [9] that there exists a J-holomorphic disc $f : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $df(0)(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\mathrm{Re}\zeta)}) = e_1$. After a local diffeomorphism with the identical linear part at the origin straightening f, we obtain that the map $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta, 0, ..., 0)$ is J-holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$. Denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $Z = (w, z)$ with $z = (z_2, ..., z_n)$. Then we may assume (possibly, after an additional change of coordinates preserving

the J-holomorphicity of the coordinates line w and a suitable choice of defining functions similarly to [2]) that E is defined near the origin by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(Z) = z_2 - P(w) + R(Z) = 0,\\
&r_3(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_3 + h_3(Z) = 0,\\
&...\\
&r_n(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_n + h_n(Z) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $R = O(|Z|^3)$ and $h_j(Z) = O(|Z|^2)$. Here

$$P(w) = w\overline{w} + \gamma(w^2 + \overline{w}^2)$$

The point p is called *elliptic* if in such a system of coordinates $\gamma \in [0, 1/2[$. This notion is invariant. In what follows we denote by $r := (\mathrm{Re}\rho, \mathrm{Im}\rho, r_3, ..., r_n)$ the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued local defining function of E.

3 Bishop discs

Let (M, J) be a smooth almost complex manifold of real dimension $2n$ and E a real submanifold of M of real codimension m. A J-holomorphic disc $f : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow M$ continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is called a *Bishop disc* if $f(b\mathbb{D}) \subset E$ (where $b\mathbb{D}$ denotes the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$). Our aim is to prove the existence and to describe certain classses of Bishop discs attached to E. In this section we derive Riemann-Hilbert type boundary problems describing pseudoholomorphic Bishop discs near generic and elliptic points of a real submanifold in an almost complex manifold.

Since our considerations are local, we identify an almost complex manifold with $\mathbb{C}^n$ and denote by $Z = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ the standrard complex coordinates. An almost complex structure J may be viewed as a smooth real $(2n \times 2n)$-matrix valued function $J : Z \mapsto J(Z)$ on a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We also may assume that $J(0) = J_{st}$. Then a smooth map

$$Z : \zeta \mapsto Z(\zeta)$$

$$Z : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow U$$

where U is a small enough neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is J-holomorphic if and only if it satisfies the following PDE system

$$Z_{\overline{\zeta}} - A(Z)\overline{Z}_{\overline{\zeta}} = 0$$

where $A(Z)$ is the complex $n \times n$ matrix of the operator whose composite with complex conjugation is equal to the endomorphism $(J_{st} + J_\delta(Z))^{-1}(J_{st} - J_\delta(Z))$ (which is an anti-linear operator with respect to the standard structure J_{st}). By lemma 2.1 we can assume that the norm of A is small enough.

3.1 Bishop discs and Bishop's equation near a generic point

Denote by Kf the Cauchy integral of a function f:

$$K(f)(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{b\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\tau)d\tau}{\tau - \zeta}$$

for $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ and by $K_0 f$ its principal value at boundary point:

$$K_0(f)(\zeta) = (v.p.)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{b\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\tau)d\tau}{\tau - \zeta}, \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$$

We will use also the following notation for the Cauchy-Green transform:

$$T(f)(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\tau)d\tau \wedge d\overline{\tau}}{\tau - \zeta}$$

Then for any function f of class C^1 on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ (the restriction C^1 can be replaced by some Sobolev's class, see [11]) we have the generalized Cauchy formula:

$$f = Kf + Tf_{\overline{\zeta}} \tag{1}$$

Denote also by $K_+ f(\zeta)$ and $K_- f(\zeta)$ the limiting values of Kf at $\zeta \in b\mathbb{D}$ from inside and outside respectively.

Then we have the classical Plemejl-Sokhotski formulae (at every point of $b\mathbb{D}$):

$$K_\pm f = K_0 f \pm (f/2), \tag{2}$$

and

$$K_+ f - K_- f = f \tag{3}$$

In particular, (1) and (3) imply that

$$-Tf(\zeta) = K_- f(\zeta), \zeta \in b\mathbb{D} \tag{4}$$

Recall also for any real function $u \in L^2(b\mathbb{D})$ there exists a unique $v \in L^2(\overline{D})$ (the Hilbert transform of u) such that $u + iv$ is a boundary value of a holomorphic function h from the Hardy space $H^2(b\mathbb{D})$. The Hilbert transform may be written as a singular integral operator:

$$Hf(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}(v.p)\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \zeta}{e^{i\theta} - \zeta} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta$$

The classical fact is that for a non-integer $k > 0$, H is a continuous linear operator on $C^k(b\mathbb{D})$. Denote also by $P_0 f$ the value of the Poisson integral of f at the origin:

$$P_0 f = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta$$

Integrating the identity $2\tau(\tau-\zeta)^{-1}=(\tau+\zeta)(\tau-\zeta)^{-1}+1$ we obtain that

$$K_0 f=(i/2)Hf+(1/2)P_0 f$$

on the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$. Now (2) gives

$$f(\zeta)=iHf(\zeta)+P_0 f-2K_- f(\zeta),\zeta\in b\mathbb{D} \tag{5}$$

Suppose that f is of class $C^\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ with $\alpha>0$ non-intergral. Let also $f^*=u^*+iv^*$ be a function on $b\mathbb{D}$ of class C^α.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that f satisfies the following system of singular integral equations:*

$$f=Kf^*+Tf_{\overline{\zeta}},\ \ \zeta\in\mathbb{D} \tag{6}$$

$$v^*=Hu^*+P_0v^*+2\mathrm{Im}Tf_{\overline{\zeta}},\ \ \zeta\in b\mathbb{D} \tag{7}$$

Then $f^*=f|_{b\mathbb{D}}$.

Proof : (6) implies that $K_+f^*=K_+f$. In view of (4) we obtain $K_+f=Kf^*$. Furthermore, (7) implies $-2\mathrm{Im}Tf_{\overline{\zeta}}=\mathrm{Im}(-v^*+Hu^*+P_0v^*)=\mathrm{Im}(iHf^*+P_0f^*-f^*)=2\mathrm{Im}K_-f^*$. Therefore, $K_f=K_-f^*$ (recall that the value of the Cauchy type integral at the infinity is equal to 0). Thus, $K_+f=K_+f^*$ and $K_-f=K_-f^*$ which implies the statement of lemma.

Now we may write the Bishop equation. We assume that a real submanifold E is given by the following equations near the origin:

$$y=h(x,w)$$

with

$$h(0,0)=0, dh(0,0)=0,$$

where we use the notation $Z=(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-m}\times\mathbb{C}^m$, $z=x+iy$, $w=u+iv$. We also write a map Z in the form $Z(\zeta)=(z(\zeta),w(\zeta))$. We will represent the matrix A in the form

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} P & R\\ L & N\end{pmatrix}.$$

with $(m\times m)$-bloc P.

Then a smooth disc Z is J-holomorphic and its boundary is attached to E if and only if it satisfies the following system of singular integral equations:

$$(RH_0):\left\{\begin{array}{l} z=Kz^*-T(P(Z)\overline{z}_{\overline{\zeta}}+R(Z)\overline{w}_{\overline{\zeta}}),\ \ \zeta\in\mathbb{D}\\ y^*=Hh(y^*,w^*)+y_0-2\mathrm{Im}T(P(Z)\overline{z}_{\overline{\zeta}}+R(Z)\overline{w}_{\overline{\zeta}}),\ \ \zeta\in b\mathbb{D}\\ w=Kw^*-T(L(Z)\overline{z}_{\overline{\zeta}}+N(Z)\overline{w}_{\overline{\zeta}}),\ \ \zeta\in\mathbb{D}\\ v^*=Hu^*+v_0-2\mathrm{Im}T(L(Z)\overline{z}_{\overline{\zeta}}+N(Z)\overline{w}_{\overline{\zeta}}),\ \ \zeta\in b\mathbb{D}\end{array}\right\}$$

where $Z^* = Z|_{b\mathbb{D}}$. Thus, this non-linear boundary Riemann-Hilbert type problem may be viewed as an almost complex analog of the standard Bishop equation. Applying the implicit function theorem we easily obtain that given non-integral $\alpha > 0$, real constants y_0, v_0 and a real function $u^* \in C^\alpha(b\mathbb{D})$ there exists a unique solution of the system (RH_0).

We point out that the existence of Bishop discs attached to a generic submanifold of an almost complex manifold was proved in [8] by a different method. The present method is substantially more explicit and allows to write Bishop's equation as a singular integral equation similarly to the integrable case. This leads to a more convenient and explicit parametrization of the Banach space of Bishop's discs and can be useful for other applications. In particular, it follows immediately from the equation (RH_0) that Bishop's discs depend smoothly on deformations of the almost complex sctructure J.

3.2 Bishop discs and Bishop's equation near an ellpitic point

Consider a real n-dimensional submanifold E in an almost complex n-dimensional manifold (M, J) and let p be an elliptic point of E. We assume without loss of generality that E is a submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the origin is an elliptic point of E; moreover, $J(0) = J_{st}$. Furthemore, choosing local coordinates similarly to the previous section, we assume that the map $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta, 0, ..., 0)$ is J-holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$. Denote as above the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $Z = (w, z)$ with $z = (z_2, ..., z_n)$. Then we may assume that E is defined near the origin by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(Z) = z_2 - P(w) + R(Z) = 0,\\
&r_3(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_3 + h_3(Z) = 0,\\
&...\\
&r_n(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_n + h_n(Z) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $R = O(|Z|^3)$ and $h_j(Z) = O(|Z|^2)$. Here

$$P(w) = w\overline{w} + \gamma(w^2 + \overline{w}^2)$$

and $\gamma \in [0, 1/2[$. As above, we denote by $r := (\mathrm{Re}\rho, \mathrm{Im}\rho, r_3, ..., r_n)$ the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued local defining function of E.

Then a smooth map f defined on $\mathbb{D}$ and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a Bishop disc if and only if it satisfies the following non-linear boundary problem of the Riemann-Hilbert type for the quasi-linear operator $\overline{\partial}_J$:

$$(RH) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\partial}_J f(\zeta) = 0, \zeta \in \mathbb{D} \\ r(f)(\zeta) = 0, \zeta \in b\mathbb{D} \end{array} \right.$$

Consider the non-isotropic dilations $\Lambda_\delta : Z = (w, z) \mapsto Z' = (\delta^{-1/2} w, \delta^{-1} z)$. In the new Z-variables (we drop the primes) the image $E_\delta = \Lambda_\delta(E)$ is defined by the equation $r_\delta(Z) := \delta^{-1} r((\Lambda_\delta)^{-1} Z) = 0$. Then the vector-function r_δ tends to the function

$$r^0(Z) = (\mathrm{Re} z_2 - \mathrm{Re} P(w), \mathrm{Im} z_2 - \mathrm{Im} P(w), \mathrm{Re} z_3 + Q_3(w), ..., \mathrm{Re} z_n + Q_n(w))$$

as $\delta \longrightarrow 0$. Here Q_j are some real homogeneous of degree 2 polynomials in w. Hence the manifolds E_δ approach the model quadric manifold $E_0 = \{r^0(Z) = 0\}$ which explicitly is given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^0(Z) = z_2 - P(w) = 0,\\
&r_3^0(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_3 - Q_3(w) = 0,\\
&...\\
&r_n^0(Z) = \mathrm{Re} z_n - Q_n(w) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the transported structures $J_\delta := (\Lambda_\delta)_*(J) = d\Lambda_\delta \circ J \circ (d\Lambda_\delta)^{-1}$. The following statement is similar to [8].

Lemma 3.2 *For any positive k and any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $\parallel J_\delta - J_{st} \parallel_{C^k(K)} \longrightarrow 0$ as $\delta \longrightarrow 0$.*

Proof : Consider the Taylor expansion of $J(Z)$ near the origin: $J(Z) = J_{st} + L(Z) + R(Z)$ where $L(Z)$ is the linear part of the expansion and $R(Z) = O(|Z|^2)$. Clearly, $\Lambda_\delta \circ R(\Lambda_\delta^{-1}(Z)) \circ \Lambda_\delta^{-1}$ converges to 0 as $\delta \longrightarrow 0$. Denote by $L^\delta_{kj}(Z)$ (respectively, by $L_{kj}(Z)$) an entry of the real matrix $\Lambda_\delta \circ L(\Lambda_\delta^{-1}(Z)) \circ \Lambda_\delta^{-1}$ (respectively, of $L(Z)$). For $k = 3, ..., 2n$, $j = 1, 2$ we have $J^\delta_{kj}(w, z) = \delta^{-1/2} L_{kj}(\delta^{1/2} w, \delta z) \longrightarrow L_{kj}(w, 0)$ as $\delta \longrightarrow 0$. However, in the coordinate system fixed above the map $\zeta \longrightarrow (\zeta, 0, .., 0)$ is J-holomorphic, so $L_{kj}(w, 0) = 0$ for $k, j = 1, 2$. This implies that L^δ_{kj} approaches 0 for all k, j (for other values of k, j this is obvious). This gives us the result of the lemma.

Consider a J_δ-holomorphic disc $Z : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{B}^n, J_\delta)$. The J_δ-holomorphy condition $J_\delta(Z) \circ dZ = dZ \circ J_{st}$ can be written in the following form:

$$Z_{\overline{\zeta}} - A_{J,\delta}(Z) \overline{Z}_{\overline{\zeta}} = 0 \tag{8}$$

where as above $A_{J,\delta}(Z)$ is the complex $n \times n$ matrix of the operator whose composite with complex conjugation is equal to the endomorphism $(J_{st} + J_\delta(Z))^{-1}(J_{st} - J_\delta(Z))$ (which is an anti-linear operator with respect to the standard structure J_{st}). Hence the entries of the matrix $A_{J,\delta}(Z)$ are smooth functions of δ, Z vanishing identically in Z for $\delta = 0$.

Using the Cauchy-Green transform T_{CG} defined above we may write $\overline{\partial}_J$-equation (8) as follows:

$$[Z - T_{CG} A_{J,\delta}(Z) \overline{Z}_{\overline{\zeta}}]_{\overline{\zeta}} = 0$$

This is well known [11] that the Cauchy-Green transform is a continuous linear operator from $C^k(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ into $C^{k+1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ (recall that k is nonintegral). Hence the operator

$$\Phi_{J,\delta} : Z \longrightarrow W = Z - T_{CG} A_{J,\delta}(Z) \overline{Z}_{\overline{\zeta}}$$

takes the space $C^k(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ into itself. Thus, Z is J_δ-holomorphic if and only if $\Phi_{J,\delta}(Z)$ is holomorphic (in the usual sense) on $\mathbb{D}$. For sufficiently small positive δ this is an invertible operator on a

neighborhood of zero in $C^k(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ which establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of J_δ-holomorphic and holomorphic discs in $\mathbb{B}^n$.

These considerations allow us to replace the non-linear Riemann-Hilbert problem (RH) by Bishop's equation

$$r_\delta(\Phi^{-1}_{J,\delta}(W))(\zeta) = 0, \zeta \in b\mathbb{D} \tag{9}$$

for an unknown holomorphic function W in the unit disc.

If W is a solution of the boundary problem (9), then $Z = \Phi^{-1}_{J,\delta}(W)$ is a Bishop disc with boundary attached to E_δ. Since the manifold E_δ is biholomorhic via non-isotropic dilations to the initial manifold E, the solutions of the equation (9) allow to describe the Bishop discs attached to E.

3.3 Analysis of the Bishop equation and the geometry of Bishop discs

We begin with the description of Bishop discs attached to the model manifold E_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the standrard structure J_{st}. They are the solutions of the boundary problem (9) for $\delta = 0$.

For $r > 0$ consider the ellipse $D_r := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : P(w) < r\}$ and denote by w_r the biholomorphism $w_r = w(r, \bullet) : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow D_r$ satisfying $w_r(0) = 0$. Then $P \circ w_r|_{b\mathbb{D}} \equiv r$ and we set $z_2(r, \zeta) \equiv r$. This J_{st} holomorphic disc admits the lift to a Bishop disc if we set

$$z_j(r, \zeta) = SQ_j(\zeta) + ic_n, j = 3, ..., n$$

where $c_3, ..., c_n$ are real constans and S denotes the Schwarz integral:

$$Sf(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\tau|=1} \frac{\tau+\zeta}{\tau-\zeta} f(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}$$

We obtain a family of J_{st}-holomorphic discs $(w(r, c)(\bullet), z(r, c)(\bullet))$ smoothly depending on $(n - 1)$ real parameters $(r, c_3, ..., c_n)$, $r > 0$. Their boundaries are disjoint and form a foliation of a neighborhood of the real $(n - 2)$ dimensional submanifold

$$\Sigma := \{w = 0, z_2 = 0, \mathrm{Re} z_3 = ... = \mathrm{Re} z_n = 0\}$$

in E. For $r = 0$ these discs degenerate to the constant map $\zeta \mapsto (0, 0, ic_3, ..., ic_n)$. Their images form a real $(n + 1)$ submanifold $\tilde{E}$ such that $(\tilde{E}, E)$ is a smooth manifold with boundary outside the points of Σ.

We claim that in the general case of an almost complex structure J the Bishop discs have similar properties. Indeed, Let $w = 0$, $z_2 = \delta$, $z_j = \delta$, $j = 3, ..., n$ for $\delta > 0$ be a point on the real "normal" to E. After the non-isotropic dilation Λ_δ the image of this point coincides with $p^0 := (0, 1, 1, ..., 1)$. There exists a unique Bishop disc Z^0 of the described above family centered at p^0; it corresponds to the parameters $r = 1$ and $c = 0$. The parametrizing map $F : (r, c, \zeta) \longrightarrow (w(r, c)(\zeta), z(r, c)(\zeta))$ has the maximal rank when the parameters (r, c) are in a neighborhood U of the point $(1, 0)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Applying the implicit function theorem to the operator equation (9) for $\delta > 0$ small enough we obtain a holomorphic solution $W(r, c)(\bullet)$ smoothly depending on $(n - 1)$ real parameters (r, c).

Then $Z(r,c) = \Phi^{-1}_{J,\delta}(W)$ is a family of J-holomorphic Bishop discs with boundaries attached to E_δ. This family is a small deformation of the above Bishop disc for E_0. Since δ is small, the parametrizing map $(r,c,\zeta) \mapsto Z(r,c)(\zeta)$ has the maximal rank. So these discs form a real smooth $(n+1)$ manifold $\tilde{E}_\delta$ with smooth boundary. Furthermore, this manifold is foliated by the Bishop discs $Z(r,c)(\bullet)$ and a neigborhood of $Z^0(b\mathbb{D})$ in E is an open subset of the boundary of $\tilde{E}_\delta$.

We proved the following statement.

Theorem 3.3 *Let p be an elliptic point of a real n dimensional submanifold E of an almost complex manifold (M,J). Given positive k there exists a family of J-holomorphic Bishop discs for E C^k-smoothly depending on $(n-1)$ real parameters. Thiese discs foliate a real $(n+1)$-dimensional submanifold $\tilde{E}$ such that $(\tilde{E}, E)$ is a C^k smooth manifold with boundary outside a real $(n-2)$-dimensional submanifold Σ in E.*

As we pointed out, in the case of dimension 2 a similar statement is due to H.Hofer [5], Y.Eliashberg [3] and R.Ye [12]. It seems natural to study the questrions related to the regularity of the manifold $\tilde{E}$ near the point of Σ similarly to C.Kenig - S.Webster results [6, 7] in the case of an integrable complex structure.

Aknowledgements. This paper was done while the first named author visited the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign during the Spring semester of 2005. He thanks this institution for excellent conditions for work.

References

[1] E.Bedford, B.Gaveau, *Envelopes of holomorphy of certain 2-spheres in* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Amer. J. Math. **105**(1983), 975-1009.

[2] E.Bishop, *Differentiable manifolds in complex Euclidean Space*, Duke Math. J. **32**, (1965) 1-21.

[3] Y.Eliashberg, *Filling by holomorphic discs and its applications*, London Math. Society Lecture Notes, series 151(1991), 45-67.

[4] M.Gromov, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), 307-347.

[5] H.Hofer, *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations with applications to the Weinstein conjecture in dimension three*, Invent. Math. **114**(1993), 515-563.

[6] C.Kenig, S.Webster, *The local hull of holomorphy of a surface in the space of two complex variables*, Invent. Math. **67**(1982), 1-21.

[7] C.Kenig, S.Webster, *On the hull of holomorphy of an n-manifold in* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa, **11**(1984), 261-280.

[8] N.Kruzhilin, A.Sukhov, Attaching pseudoholomorphic discs to real submanifolds of an almost complex space, Bull. Sci. Math. 2005.

[9] A.Nijenhuis, W.Woolf, *Some integration problems in almost-complex and complex manifolds*, Ann. Math. **77**(1963), 429-484.

[10] A.Tumanov, *Extending CR functions from manifolds with boundaries*, Math. Res. Letters, **2**(1995), 629-642.

[11] I.N.Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Pergamon Press , 1962.

[12] R.Ye, *Filling by holomorphic curves in symplectic 4-manifolds*, Trans. AMS **350**(1988), 213-250.